

# The Cost of Constrained Agency: Testing the Insecurity Hypothesis and the Nexus Between Economic Shocks, High Human Capital, and Non-Realized Fertility

SREOSHI DAS

European Doctoral School of Demography  
Max Planck Institute of Demography and INED, Paris

## Abstract

Across many societies, the costs of childbearing and childrearing have escalated sharply, transforming reproduction into an economically contingent choice. While the “motherhood penalty” has long documented the career costs of entering motherhood, this study examines its mirror image—the growing “pre-maternity penalty,” wherein structural insecurity itself deters or delays parenthood. Drawing on nationally representative longitudinal data from the India Human Development Survey (2004–05, 2011–12), this study provides a causal test of the *Insecurity Hypothesis*: does economic uncertainty suppress the probability and timing of births?

Using a fixed-effects linear probability design, we estimate the within-woman effect of changes in financial insecurity—income shocks, employment instability, health expenditures, and subjective economic distress—on parity progression and age at first birth. Further, we assess whether this effect is socially differentiated: do all individuals respond similarly to uncertainty, or is reproductive postponement concentrated among high-education and high-income groups, for whom opportunity costs are greatest?

Preliminary results indicate that economic shocks significantly delay births, but the magnitude of this postponement is highly unequal—most pronounced among highly educated women. These findings suggest that rising economic precarity erodes the reproductive agency of those with the highest human capital, creating a hidden form of structural inequality that culminates in non-realized fertility. By linking economic shocks, heterogeneity in postponement, and eventual reproductive shortfall, this study offers critical evidence that addressing fertility decline requires not incentivization, but inclusive economic security, supportive infrastructure, and progressive policy design.

## 1. Introduction: The Structural Constraints on Reproductive Choice

Fertility decisions are profoundly shaped by economic uncertainty, rising opportunity costs, and structural pressures. While extensive research details the well-known motherhood penalty—the labor market consequences of having children—a less understood yet equally consequential reality is the

“pre-maternity penalty,” where economic and structural realities actively deter or delay childbearing.

In contemporary India, facing rising costs for housing, healthcare, and education, many potential parents, particularly those with high human capital, confront a stark choice: delay fertility in the face of uncertainty, or risk biological constraints limiting their ability to realize their intended family size.

This study provides a rigorous, causal test of the Insecurity Hypothesis, assessing whether rising financial uncertainty reduces the probability of first and subsequent births (e.g., transition from first to second birth). Our central argument is that the impact of insecurity is not uniform; it should be intensely concentrated among highly educated women who possess higher opportunity costs for childbearing. Additionally, fertility intentions will be used as a supplementary outcome to evaluate whether patterns in realized fertility correspond to stated reproductive desires.

We also aim to investigate the long-term consequence: does economically mandated postponement translate into non-realized fertility and involuntary childlessness? Understanding this structural inequality is critical for shifting policy debates from mere demographic incentivization to addressing the systemic barriers that compromise reproductive agency.

## **2. Data Source and Longitudinal Design**

### **Dataset and Sample**

The research uses the India Human Development Survey (IHDS), a nationally representative longitudinal dataset collected across two waves (IHDS-I: 2004–05 and IHDS-II: 2011–12). The primary analytic sample is restricted to women aged 18–40 years at baseline (2004–05) who were successfully re-interviewed in IHDS-II. This ensures the cohort remains within the peak reproductive window across the observation period.

### **Spell Construction**

Given that key time-varying covariates—such as detailed labor force status and objective financial indicators—are measured only at the two survey waves, the analysis is based on a single, long-duration spell (approximately seven years) per woman. Thus, the unit of analysis is the woman-wave, reflecting exposure to risk of a specific birth order during this interval.

While it would be ideal to construct yearly spells, IHDS does not contain consistently measured yearly data on employment or income between waves. Therefore, creating annual spells would not add analytical value, as all intermediate years would rely on lagged or interpolated measures. However, if auxiliary data (e.g., district-level or regional unemployment rates) can be merged, exploratory extensions incorporating contextual indicators will be considered to capture local labor market shocks.

### 3. Operationalization of Variables

#### A. Dependent Variables (Outcomes)

- **Realized Fertility (Parity Progression):** A binary variable equal to 1 if woman  $i$  experienced a specific birth (e.g., first or subsequent) between IHDS-I and IHDS-II, and 0 otherwise. Separate models will examine first and higher-order transitions.
- **Fertility Intention:** The stated desire for additional children in IHDS-II, used as a supplementary outcome to assess the alignment between desired and actual fertility outcomes.
- **Involuntary Childlessness / Unmet Fertility Desire:** A binary variable defined for IHDS-II respondents who are childless (or sub-fertile) yet express a positive intention to have children, restricted to women near the end of their reproductive window (e.g., age 35+ or highly educated). This captures the gap between desired and realized fertility.

#### B. Key Independent Variable: Economic Insecurity (Time-Varying Change, $\Delta$ )

Because the fixed-effects design eliminates time-invariant characteristics (e.g., caste, religion, birthplace, parental education), only within-woman changes between the two waves drive identification. Consequently, lagged single-time-point measures cannot be used.

Each insecurity dimension is measured as the *within-individual change* ( $\Delta$ ) between 2004–05 and 2011–12. Indicators include:

- **Income / Consumption Shock:** Change in household income and total consumption.
- **Employment Instability:** Change in labor force participation or employment status.
- **Health Shock:** Change in out-of-pocket medical expenditure.
- **Subjective Financial Distress:** Change in perceived financial difficulty.

All indicators are available in IHDS and will be standardized for comparability.

Where possible, contextual variables (e.g., district-level unemployment rates or local economic shocks) will be linked to explore the interplay between macro-level conditions and individual insecurity.

### 4. Econometric Design and Estimation Strategy

#### Primary Econometric Model: Fixed-Effects Linear Probability Model (FE-LPM)

A simple fixed-effects design is employed, estimating the probability of a birth between survey waves as

a function of within-woman changes in economic insecurity and control variables.

Given the limitations of Fixed-Effects Logit (which drops women whose fertility does not change between waves), the FE-LPM is preferred. It retains the full sample, provides directly interpretable marginal effects, and accommodates binary outcomes without excluding stable observations. Robust standard errors will be clustered at the household level.

### **Testing Structural Reproductive Inequality (Heterogeneity)**

To test differential effects, an interaction term between the change in economic insecurity and baseline education level (measured in 2004–05) is included. This examines whether the fertility-suppressing effect of insecurity is intensified among high human capital women.

### **Modeling Constrained Agency and Involuntary Childlessness**

A cross-sectional logit/probit model (IHDS-II) will estimate the probability of involuntary childlessness among older, highly educated women. The key predictor is cumulative exposure to economic precarity over the preceding seven years. A significant positive relationship would suggest that economic pressures forced postponement that eventually translated into non-realized fertility due to the biological end of the reproductive window.

**Exploratory Extension:** The interaction between district-level and micro-level insecurity measures will be tested to explore how contextual instability amplifies or mitigates individual constraints on fertility.

## **5. Expected Findings and Contributions**

Consistent with the Insecurity Hypothesis, the analysis is expected to find a significant negative relationship between increases in economic insecurity and the likelihood of parity progression.

### **Key Contributions:**

1. **Causal Evidence of Structural Inequality:** By demonstrating that the postponement effect is most pronounced among high human capital women, the study provides causal evidence that structural economic realities—such as high opportunity costs and expensive childrearing—actively constrain reproductive agency.
2. **Quantifying the Cost of Delay:** Linking cumulative economic shocks to involuntary childlessness quantifies the ultimate societal cost of economic volatility: the gap between intended and realized fertility.
3. **Policy Relevance:** The findings reframe the debate on fertility decline from a matter of individual choice to one of structural constraint, underscoring the need for economic stability, employment protection, and supportive social infrastructure over short-term demographic incentives.